arXiv:1403.5209v2 [math.FA] 25 Mar 2014

The Bochner Integral

Piotr Mikusiński and Evan Weiss
University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to present the construction and basic properties of the Bochner integral. The approach presented here is based on the ideas from [3] where the Bochner integral is presented for functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$. A similar approach to the Lebesgue integral for functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$ is used in [2], [4], and [6]. In this article we present a simple construction of the vector valued integral on abstract measure spaces.

2 Simple functions

Let X be a nonempty set and let $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space.

Definition 2.1. A collection $\mathcal{R}$ of subsets of X is called a *ring of subsets* of X if

$$A, B \in \mathcal{R} \text{ implies } A \cup B, A \setminus B \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Definition 2.2. A map $\mu : \mathcal{R} \to [0, \infty)$ is called σ-*additive* if for any sequence of disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \cdots \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$\mu\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

Note that $\mu(A)$ is finite for all $A \in \mathcal{R}$. In what follows we will assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is a ring of subsets of X and μ is a σ-additive measure on $\mathcal{R}$. It will be convenient to use the same symbol to denote a subset of X and the characteristic function of that set, that is, if $A \subset X$ we will write

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Definition 2.3. A function $f : X \to \mathbb{E}$ is called a *simple function* if

$$f = v_1 A_1 + \cdots + v_n A_n \tag{2.1}$$

for some $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ and $v_1, \ldots v_n \in \mathbb{E}$. The vector space of all simple $\mathbb{E}$-valued functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$.

If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$ is a simple function, then the function $|f|$ defined by $|f|(x) = \|f(x)\|$ is a simple real valued function, that is, $|f| \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. For the simple function

$$f = v_1 A_1 + \cdots + v_n A_n,$$

where $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ and $v_1, \ldots v_n \in \mathbb{E}$, we define

$$\int f = v_1 \mu(A_1) + \cdots + v_n \mu(A_n).$$

A standard argument shows that this integral is well defined. It follows directly from the definition that the map $\int : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E}) \to \mathbb{E}$ is a linear. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$ we define

$$\|f\|_1 = \int |f|.$$

It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a semi-norm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ and $v_1, \ldots v_n \in \mathbb{E}$ we have*

$$\|v_1 \mu(A_1) + \cdots + v_n \mu(A_n)\| \leq \int |v_1 A_1 + \cdots + v_n A_n| .$$

Proof. First we observe that

$$v_1 A_1 + \cdots + v_n A_n = u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_m B_m,$$

for some $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathbb{E}$ and some disjoint $B_1, \ldots, B_m \in \mathcal{R}$. Then

$$v_1 \mu(A_1) + \cdots + v_n \mu(A_n) = u_1 \mu(B_1) + \cdots + u_m \mu(B_m),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_1 \mu(A_1) + \cdots + v_n \mu(A_n)\| &= \|u_1 \mu(B_1) + \cdots + u_m \mu(B_m)\| \\
&\leq \|u_1 \mu(B_1)\| + \cdots + \|u_m \mu(B_m)\| \\
&= \|u_1\| \mu(B_1) + \cdots + \|u_m\| \mu(B_m) \\
&= \int (\|u_1\| B_1 + \cdots + \|u_m\| B_m) \\
&= \int |u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_m B_m| \\
&= \int |v_1 A_1 + \cdots + v_n A_n| .
\end{aligned}$$

□

From the above lemma we obtain the following useful inequality

$$\left\| \int f \right\| \leq \|f\|_1$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$.

3 The Bochner Integral

In this section we will describe a construction of the Bochner integral on X with respect to the extension of μ to a complete σ-additive measure on X. In our approach, the extended measure does not play any role. On the other hand, it is important that $(X, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$ can be used to define the space of Lebesgue integrable real valued functions on X with respect to the extension of μ to a complete σ-additive measure on X (see [5]). We will use $L^1(X, \mu)$ to denote that space. In proofs involving arguments in $L^1(X, \mu)$ (for example, the proof of Theorem 3.2) we follow the approach presented in [1].

Definition 3.1. A function $f : X \to \mathbb{E}$ is called *Bochner integrable* if there exist functions $f_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$ such that

$\mathbb{A}$ $\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty,$

$\mathbb{B}$ $\displaystyle f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ at those points $x \in X$ where $\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x)\| < \infty.$

If conditions $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ are satisfied we will write

$$f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots \quad \text{or} \quad f \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n.$$

The space of all Bochner integrable functions will be denoted by $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$.

We are going to define the integral of a Bochner integrable function $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ as $\int f = \int f_1 + \int f_2 + \ldots$. Note that this definition requires a proof of independence of the integral $\int f$ of a particular expansion of f in a series of simple functions. This proof is not simple and uses some properties of the integral in $L^1(X, \mu)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$. Then*

(a) $|f| \in L^1(X, \mu)$,

(b) $\displaystyle\int |f| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int |f_1 + \cdots + f_n|,$

(c) $\displaystyle\left\| \int f_1 + \int f_2 + \ldots \right\| \leq \int |f|.$

Proof. Let

$$g_n = f_1 + \cdots + f_n \ \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

and

$$\varphi_1 = |f_1| \ \text{ and } \ \varphi_n = |g_n| - |g_{n-1}| \ \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \ldots.$$

We will show that

$$|f| \simeq \varphi_1 + |f_1| - |f_1| + \varphi_2 + |f_2| - |f_2| + \dots. \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$|\varphi_n| = ||g_n| - |g_{n-1}|| \le |g_n - g_{n-1}| \le |f_n|,$$

we have

$$\|\varphi_1\|_1 + \|f_1\|_1 + \|f_1\|_1 + \|\varphi_2\|_1 + \|f_2\|_1 + \|f_2\|_2 + \dots \le 3\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty.$$

If

$$|\varphi_1(x)| + \|f_1(x)\| + \|f_1(x)\| + |\varphi_2(x)| + \|f_2(x)\| + \|f_2(x)\| + \dots < \infty$$

for some $x \in X$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x)\|_1 < \infty$ and consequently $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \varphi_n(x) = \|g_m(x)\| = \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} f_n(x)\right\| \to \|f(x)\|$$

as $m \to \infty$.

From (3.1) we obtain $|f| \in L^1(X, \mu)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f| &= \int \varphi_1 + \int |f_1| - \int |f_1| + \int \varphi_2 + \int |f_2| - \int |f_2| + \dots \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int (\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_n) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int |g_n| \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int |f_1 + \dots + f_n|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since

$$\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} \int f_n\right\| \le \int \left|\sum_{n=1}^{m} f_n\right| = \int |g_m| = \int |f_1 + \dots + f_m|,$$

we have

$$\left\|\int f_1 + \int f_2 + \dots\right\| \le= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int |f_1 + \dots + f_n| = \int |f|.$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *If*

$$f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots \quad \textit{and} \quad f \simeq g_1 + g_2 + \dots,$$

then

$$\int f_1 + \int f_2 + \dots = \int g_1 + \int g_2 + \dots.$$

Proof. If $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ and $f \simeq g_1 + g_2 + \dots$, then

$$0 \simeq f_1 - g_1 + f_2 - g_2 + \dots$$

and hence

$$\left\| \int f_1 - \int g_1 + \int f_2 - \int g_2 + \dots \right\| \leq 0.$$

□

Now we can define the integral of a Bochner integrable function.

Definition 3.4. By the integral of a Bochner integrable function

$$f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \cdots$$

we mean the element of $\mathbb{E}$ defined by

$$\int f = \int f_1 + \int f_2 + \cdots .$$

Theorem 3.5. *The integral is a linear operator from $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ to $\mathbb{E}$. Moreover, $\left\| \int f \right\| \leq \int |f|$ for all $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$.*

Proof. Linearity follows easily from the fact that, if $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \cdots$, $g \simeq g_1 + g_2 + \cdots$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$f + g \simeq f_1 + g_1 + f_2 + g_2 \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda f \simeq \lambda f_1 + \lambda f_2 + \cdots .$$

The inequality follows from part (c) of Theorem 3.2. □

4 $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ as a Banach space

It is important that normed space method can be applied to Bochner integrable functions. However, we need to deal with the usual problem, that is, $\|f\|_1 = \int |f|$ is not a norm in $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$, since $\int |f| = 0$ does not imply $f = 0$. The problem can be solved by identifying functions that are equal almost everywhere.

If $f, g \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $\int |f - g| = 0$, then we write $f \sim g$. It is clear that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. Let $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ be the space of equivalence classes, that is, $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E}) = L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E}) / \sim$. It is easy to check that $\|f\|_1 = \int |f|$ is a norm in $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$. The difference between $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ is usually ignored. It is important to be aware of it and to carefully interpret statements about $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$. Then it will not lead to any problems.

If $\|f_n - f\|_1 \to 0$, then we will say that the sequence (f_n) converges to f *in norm* and write $f_n \to f$ i.n..

It is our goal to show that $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$. The first step is the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of simple functions (f_n) such that $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int |f_n| \leq \int |f| + \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $f \simeq g_1 + g_2 + \dots$ be an arbitrary expansion of f in a series of simple functions. Then there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \int |g_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Define

$$f_1 = g_1 + \dots + g_{n_0} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n = g_{n_0+n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2.$$

Then obviously $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots$. Since $\int |f_1| - \int |f| \leq \int |f_1 - f|$ and $f - f_1 \simeq f_2 + f_3 + \dots$, we get

$$\int |f_1| - \int |f| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n|$$

and hence

$$\int |f_1| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n| \leq \int |f|.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int |f_n| &= \int |f_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n| \\
&= \int |f_1| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n| \\
&\leq \int |f| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int |f_n| \\
&= \int |f| + 2 \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \int |g_n| \\
&< \int |f| + \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we generalize the symbol $\simeq$ to series of arbitrary Bochner integrable functions.

Let $f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and let $f : X \to \mathbb{E}$ be an arbitrary function. If

$\mathbb{A}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$ and

$\mathbb{B}$ $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ at those points $x \in X$ where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x)\| < \infty$,

then we write

$$f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots \quad \text{or} \quad f \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n.$$

Theorem 4.2. *If $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ are Bochner integrable functions and $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \cdots$, then f is Bochner integrable,*

$$f_1 + f_2 + \ldots = f \text{ i.n.}$$

and

$$\int f = \int f_1 + \int f_2 + \cdots .$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and let $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots$ be a series of positive numbers whose sum is ε. By Lemma 4.1, we can choose expansions

$$f_i \simeq f_{i1} + f_{i2} + \cdots \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots), \tag{4.1}$$

where f_{ij} are simple functions such that

$$\int |f_{i1}| + \int |f_{i2}| + \cdots < \int |f_i| + \varepsilon_i \tag{4.2}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$g_1 + g_2 + \cdots \tag{4.3}$$

be a series of simple functions which is composed of all the series in (4.1). Then from (4.2) we obtain

$$\int |g_1| + \int |g_2| + \cdots < M + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots , \tag{4.4}$$

where $M = \int |f_1| + \int |f_2| + \cdots$. Moreover, if the series (4.3) converges absolutely at a point $x \in X$, then each of the series in (4.1) converges absolutely at that point, and consequently

$$g_1(x) + g_2(x) + \cdots = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \cdots = f(x)$$

at that x. This proves that f is Bochner integrable and

$$\int f = \int g_1 + \int g_2 + \cdots = \int f_1 + \int f_2 + \cdots .$$

Moreover, since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f - f_1 - \cdots - f_n \simeq f_{n+1} + f_{n+2} + \ldots ,$$

we have

$$\|f - f_1 - \cdots - f_n\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_1 \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, which means that $f_1 + f_2 + \ldots = f$ i.n.. □

Corollary 4.3. *If $f_1, f_2, \cdots \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$, then there exists $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ such that $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$.*

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2, \cdots \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ be such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) & \text{whenever } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x)| < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ and, by Theorem 4.2, $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$. □

Theorem 4.4. *The space* $(L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ *is complete.*

Proof. We will prove that every absolutely convergent series converges in norm. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$ for some $f_n \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$, then, by Corollary 4.3, there exists an $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ such that $f \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$. This in turn implies, by Theorem 4.2, that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ converges to f in norm. □

5 Convergence almost everywhere

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is called a *null set* or a *set of measure zero* if its characteristic function is integrable, that is, $\chi_A \in L^1(X, \mu)$, and $\int \chi_A = 0$. Every subset of a null set is a null set. A countable union of null sets is a null set.

Definition 5.1. If for two functions $f, g : X \to \mathbb{E}$ the set of all $x \in X$ for which $f(x) \neq g(x)$ is a null set, then we say that f *equals* g *almost everywhere* and write $f = g$ a.e..

Theorem 5.2. $f = g$ *a.e. if and only if* $\int |f - g| = 0$.

Proof. Let h be the characteristic function of the set $Z = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$.

If $f = g$ a.e., then $\int |h| = \int h = 0$. Therefore

$$|f - g| \simeq h + h + \dots,$$

which implies $\int |f - g| = 0$.

Conversely, if $\int |f - g| = 0$, then

$$h \simeq |f - g| + |f - g| + \dots,$$

and hence $\int h = 0$. This shows that Z is a null set, that is, $f = g$ a.e..

□

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose* $f_n \to f$ *i.n. Then* $f_n \to g$ *i.n. if and only if* $f = g$ *a.e..*

Proof. If $f_n \to f$ i.n. and $f = g$ a.e., then

$$\|f_n - g\|_1 = \int |f_n - g| \leq \int |f_n - f| + \int |f - g| = \int |f_n - f| = \|f_n - f\|_1 \to 0.$$

If $f_n \to f$ i.n. and $f_n \to g$ i.n., then $f_n - f_n \to f - g$ i.n.. This implies

$$\int |f - g| = \int |f_n - f_n - f + g| \to 0,$$

completing the proof. □

Definition 5.4. We say that a sequence of functions $f_1, f_2, \cdots : X \to \mathbb{E}$ *converges to f almost everywhere* and write $f_n \to f$ a.e., if $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ for every x except a null set.

The following properties of convergence almost everywhere are immediate consequences of the definition:

If $f_n \to f$ a.e. and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda f_n \to \lambda f$ a.e.
If $f_n \to f$ a.e. and $g_n \to g$ a.e., then $f_n + g_n \to f + g$ a.e.
If $f_n \to f$ a.e., then $|f_n| \to |f|$ a.e.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose $f_n \to f$ a.e. Then $f_n \to g$ a.e. if and only if $f = g$ a.e.*

Proof. If $f_n \to f$ a.e. and $f_n \to g$ a.e., then $f_n - f_n \to f - g$ a.e., which means that $f - g = 0$ a.e..

Now let $A = \{x \in X : f_n(x) \nrightarrow f(x)\}$ and $B = \{x \in X : g_n(x) \nrightarrow g(x)\}$. Then A and B are null sets and so is $A \cup B$. Since $f_n(x) \to g(x)$ for every x not in $A \cup B$, we have $f_n \to g$ a.e.. □

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f_1, f_2, \cdots \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $\int |f_1| + \int |f_2| + \cdots < \infty$. Then the series $f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ converges almost everywhere.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, there exists a function $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ such that $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$. Since $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ for every x such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x)\| < \infty$, it suffices to show that the set of all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ for which the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x)\|$ is not absolutely convergent is a null set. Let g be the characteristic function of that set. Then $g \simeq f_1 - f_1 + f_2 - f_2 + \ldots$, and consequently

$$\int |g| = \int g = \int f_1 - \int f_1 + \int f_2 - \int f_2 + \cdots = 0.$$

□

The above theorem leads us to an important corollary.

Corollary 5.7. *If $f \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$, then $f = f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ a.e.*

In general, convergence in norm does not imply convergence almost everywhere and convergence almost everywhere does not imply convergence in norm. It turns out that for absolutely convergent series in $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ both types of convergence are equivalent.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $f_1, f_2, \cdots \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $\int |f_1| + \int |f_2| + \cdots < \infty$. Then $f = f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ a.e. if and only if $f = f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ i.n.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, there exists a function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $g \simeq f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ Then, by Theorem 4.2 we have $g = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ i.n. and, by Corollary 5.7, we have $g = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ a.e..

Now, if $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ a.e., then $f = g$ a.e., by Theorem 5.5. Hence $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ i.n., by Theorem 5.3.

Conversely, if $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ i.n., then $f = g$ a.e., by Theorem 5.3. Hence $f = f_1 + f_2 + \dots$ a.e., by Theorem 5.5. □

6 The Dominated Convergence Theorem

First we prove the following useful theorem that sheds more light on the relationship between convergence almost everywhere and convergence in norm.

Theorem 6.1. *If $f_n \to f$ i.n., then there exists a subsequence (f_{p_n}) of (f_n) such that $f_{p_n} \to f$ a.e..*

Proof. Since $\int |f_n - f| \to 0$, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers (p_n) such that $\int |f_{p_n} - f| < 2^{-n}$. Then

$$\int |f_{p_{n+1}} - f_{p_n}| \leq \int |f_{p_{n+1}} - f| + \int |f - f_{p_n}| < \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$$

and consequently

$$\int |f_{p_1}| + \int |f_{p_2} - f_{p_1}| + \int |f_{p_3} - f_{p_2}| + \dots < \infty.$$

Thus, there exists a $g \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ such that

$$g \simeq f_{p_1} + (f_{p_2} - f_{p_1}) + (f_{p_3} - f_{p_2}) + \dots,$$

and, by Corollary 5.7,

$$g = f_{p_1} + (f_{p_2} - f_{p_1}) + (f_{p_3} - f_{p_2}) + \dots \text{ a.e. .}$$

This means $f_{p_n} \to g$ a.e. Since also $f_{p_n} \to g$ i.n. and $f_{p_n} \to f$ i.n., we conclude $f = g$ a.e., by Theorem 5.3. Therefore $f_{p_n} \to f$ a.e., by Theorem 5.5. □

Note that the above result can be easily obtained from the same result for real valued functions. Indeed, if $f_n \to f$ i.n., then $|f_n - f| \to 0$ i.n. and thus $|f_{p_n} - f| \to 0$ a.e. for some increasing sequence of indices (p_n). But then $f_{p_n} - f \to 0$ a.e..

Lemma 6.2. *Let $f_n \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f_n \to 0$ a.e. and there exists a function $h \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|f_n| \leq h$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\int |f_n| \to 0$.*

Proof. For $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$, define

$$g_{m,n} = \max\{|f_m|, \dots, |f_{m+n}|\} \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}).$$

Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(g_{m,1}, g_{m,2}, \dots)$ is non-decreasing and, since

$$\left| \int g_{m,n} \right| = \int g_{m,n} \leq \int h < \infty,$$

there is a function $g_m \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $g_{m,n} \to g_m$ a.e. as $n \to \infty$.

Note that the sequence (g_n) is non-increasing and $g_n \to 0$ a.e., since $f_n \to 0$ a.e.. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $g_n \to 0$ i.n. and thus

$$\int |f_n| \leq \int g_n \to 0.$$

□

Theorem 6.3 (The Dominated Convergence Theorem)**.** *If a sequence of functions $f_n \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ converges almost everywhere to a function f and there exists a function $h \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|f_n| \leq h$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and $f_n \to f$ i.n..*

Proof. If (p_n) is an increasing sequence of positive integers, then

$$h_n = f_{p_{n+1}} - f_{p_n} \to 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

and $|h_n| \leq 2h$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 6.2, $h_n \to 0$ i.n. This shows that the sequence (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$ and therefore it converges in norm to some $g \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{E})$, by Theorem 4.4. On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1, there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers (q_n) such that $f_{q_n} \to g$ a.e. But $f_{q_n} \to f$ a.e., and thus $g = f$ a.e. This, in view of Theorem 5.3, implies $f_n \to f$ i.n. □

References

[1] E. Blackstone and P. Mikusiński, *The Daniell Integral*, arXiv:1401.0310 .

[2] L. Debnath and P.Mikusiński, *Introduction to Hilbert Spaces with Applications*, Third Edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Inc., 2005.

[3] J. Mikusiński, *The Bochner integral*, Lehrbücher und Monographien aus dem Gebiete der exakten Wissenschaften, Mathematische Reihe, Band 55. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1978.

[4] J. Mikusiński and P. Mikusiński, *An Introduction to Analysis: From Number to Integral*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1993.

[5] P. Mikusiński, *Measures*, http://mikusinski.cos.ucf.edu/measures.pdf.

[6] P. Mikusiński and M. D. Taylor, *An Introduction to Multivariable Analysis: From Vector to Manifold*, Birkhäuser, 2001.